STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

Base Year Modification Request Certification

Part 1: Generation Study - No Extrapolation Diversion Data

To request a substitution for a previously approved base year used in calculating the diversion rate for your jurisdiction, please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance 1001 | Street, (MS-25) PO Box 4025 Sacramento, CA 95812-4025

Ge	ne	ral	ln	eti	ri i	ct	in	ne	
136			- 121	-				112	١.

Please select the **ONE** choice below that best explains your request to the Board.

1. Use a recent generation-based study to calculate our current reporting year generation amount, but not officially change our existing Board-approved base year.

2. Use a recent generation-based study to officially change our existing Board-approved base year to a new base year.

The shaded cells on these sheets are protected. If you have problems using these sheets, please contact your Office of Local Assistance representative by calling (916) 341-6199.

	Jurisdiction information and the state of th	d Certificat	ion			
I certify unde	er penalty of perjury that the info and that I am authorized to mak				rect to	the best of my
Jurisdiction Nar	me		County			
	Planning and Public Works		Yolo Count	ty		
Authorized Sign			Title Senior Civil Engineer			
Type/Print Nam	ne of Person Signing		Date Phone () Include A) Include Area Code
Linda Sinderso	n		31-Jan-02 (530) 666-8859			3-8859
Person Comple	ting This Form (please print or type)		Title Recycling Specialist			
Sarah Kittle						
Affiliation:	Yolo County Planning and Publ	lic Works	<u> </u>			
Mailing Address		City		State		ZIP Code
292 W. Beamer	r St.	Woodland		CA	g	5695
E-Mail Address	sarah.kittle@yolocounty.	org				

Section II: Information for New General			
	ion-Based Stud	ly for Existing or New Base Year	
Attach additional sheets if necessary—	reference each	response to the appropriate cell nu	mber (e.g.,"4").
Note: New base years must be represent	ative of a juriedic	tion's disposal and diversion	*
Current Board-approved existing base		2. Proposed new generation-based s	tudy year:
	, ,	2000	
1990	-	2000	
3. Explain how the proposed generation s	hidy vear ie renn	sentative of average annual jurisdiction	n disposal and diversion:
5. Explain flow the proposed generation a	iddy your is repri	sseriality of artiago affilial junction	in diopodal and an inciden
This new generation number reflects the	urrent disposal a	and the diversion practices in the year	2000. The County has
implemented and maintained numerous d	iversion practice	s in the last ten years, and is commited	to continued diversion
program expansion.	•	•	•
		•	
	· -		- 1
4. Enter diversion rate information belo	w.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Diversion rate calculated using		Diversion rate calculated using	
existing base year	a. 37 %	new generation-based study	b. 60 %
For existing base year		For new generation based study	17.3
pounds/person/day based on		pounds/person/day based on	,
generation	8.8	generation	
Residential Non-Resident		Residential Non-Residential	dential
generation 50 % generation	50 %	generation 9% % genera	tion 91% %
Population existing generation-based s		Population new generation-based	study 21,450
5. If there is an increase from 4a to 4b, ple	-		
current diversion implementation efforts. I			
pounds/person/day, please explain how the	is is consistent v	with your current diversion implemental	tion efforts and provide ar
examples (e.g., change in jurisdiction's de	mographics).		
This new diversion rate is the result of a d	etailed Waste G	eneration and Diversion Study. The st	udy reflects the
concentrated efforts the County and busin	esses have take	n to increase recycling and diversion a	ctivities. Several new
divareian araarame, educational autrooch		ssistance programs have been implem	
	und Doggeto Thi		
described in the PARIS section of the Anr	•	. -	
described in the PARIS section of the Anr	•	. -	
described in the PARIS section of the Anr	•	. -	
described in the PARIS section of the Anr	•	. -	
described in the PARIS section of the Anr as well as the County's new roadside rehi	bilitation progran	ns.	ed programs at UC Davis,
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed	bilitation progran	ns. n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentag	ed programs at UC Davis,
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed	bilitation progran	ns. n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentag	ed programs at UC Davis,
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (F	diversion rates in example: new	ns. n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentag /improved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method	ge points, please explain s.)
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (For The County is currently working to expand anothe waste generation for the year 2000 or in further than the section of the year 2000 or in further than the section of the year 2000 or in further than the year 2000 or in furth	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particu	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage /improved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method lady in light of the 10 years which have occ	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehings well as the County's new roadside rehings. 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (For The County is currently working to expand anothe waste generation for the year 2000 or in further than the specific reasons for the year 2000 or in further than the waste generation for the year 2000 or in further than the year 2000 or in furth	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehings. 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (For The County is currently working to expand anothe waste generation for the year 2000 or in further than the specific reasons for the year 2000 or in further than the waste generation for the year 2000 or in further than t	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehings well as the County's new roadside rehings. 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (For The County is currently working to expand anothe waste generation for the year 2000 or in further than the specific reasons for the year 2000 or in further than the waste generation for the year 2000 or in further than the year 2000 or in furth	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (F The County is currently working to expand and the waste generation for the year 2000 or in fugeneration study, when not as much was known.	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (Find County is currently working to expand and the waste generation for the year 2000 or in fugeneration study, when not as much was known.	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (F The County is currently working to expand and the waste generation for the year 2000 or in fugeneration study, when not as much was known.	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (Find County is currently working to expand and the waste generation for the year 2000 or in fugeneration study, when not as much was known.	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (F The County is currently working to expand and the waste generation for the year 2000 or in fugeneration study, when not as much was known understanding of the existing disposal and divi	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear
described in the PARIS section of the Anras well as the County's new roadside rehi 6. If the difference between the proposed the specific reasons for the difference. (F The County is currently working to expand and the waste generation for the year 2000 or in fugeneration study, when not as much was known.	diversion rates in or example: new improve existing ture years, particular about the genera	n 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage firmproved curbside diversion programs programs. The default adjustment method larly in light of the 10 years which have occur ted waste. The new study enabled the Cou	ge points, please explain s.) does not accurately estimate curred since the initial waste inty to gain a clear

Board Meeting September 17-18, 2002

7. Disposal Tonnage (enter values):	4502	22704	27206	·							
	Residential Non-Residential		Total	•							
Please select the ONE choice below that best explains	Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables.										
 a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Dispos 	al Reporting Syst	em (No explanation required. Go to Section 8.)		•							
b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audi	t of hauler and sel	If-haul tonnage. (Please complete Reporting Year Ton	nage Request and Modification Certification sheet found a	at www.clwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Forms/rytnmdrq.doc)							
c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were	corrected. (Pleas	e complete Reporting Year Tonnage Modification Req	uest and Certification sheet found at www.clwmb.ca.gov/L	.GCentral/Forms/rytnmdrq.doc)							

8. In the table below, list the summarized diversion activities, and diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. Note: The Board expects the jurisdictions to be able to provide all back-up documentation, if requested. Include type of record and location—for example, weight tickets from transfer stations. This section should capture all diversion tonnage (form will perform all addition calculations). If any diversion is from restricted wastes, agricultural wastes, inert solids [e.g., concrete, asphalt, dirt.] white goods, and scrap metal, please identify those programs/waste types and fill out Section 10. Please mark as Attachment 8 all copies of survey forms.

Note: The Board has indicated that it will be accrutinizing total source reduction amounts greater than 5% of total generation. Please be prepared to provide additional details subsantiating your claim. Diversion Activity Relative Percent to Specific Material Type(s) (List operation w/multiple materia Specific Conversion Factor Used (If any) and Source **Total Generation** In one box) Please use the Board's program types. (A/Total The program type glossary is online at: (A) www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Paris/Co des/Reduce.htm Residential Source Reduction Activities Backyard composting 0.0% 0.0% Grasscycling Other Residential Source Reduction (list each program separately) Enter program name 0.0% Enter program name 0.0% Enter program name 0.0% 0.0% Enter program name 0.0% Enter program name Subtotal, Residential Source 0.0% Residential Recycling Activities **Curbside Recycling** Mixed paper and container materials (aluminum. 355 class, plastic and metal cans). Source: Hauler/See Appendix E Tonnage Reports by hauler Atuminum, plastic and glass redemption containers Mixed paper and container materials (aluminum, Source: Dept. of Conservation/See Appendix G **Buyback Centers** 48 0.1% Dept. of Conservation: Buy-back center tonnage report **Drop-off Centers** 200 glass, plastic and metal cans). 0.3% Source: County/See Appendix F Tonnage reports from Yolo County Central Landfill

^{*}Please provide detailed Non-Residential waste Information in Section 9.

Otversion Activity	Actual tons	Relative Percent to Total Generation	Specific Material Type(s) (f.let operation w/multiple materials in one box)	Specific Conversion Factor Used (if any) and Source	Type of Record and Location of Record
Please use the Board's program types.	#4.7	(A/Total			
The program type glossary is online at:	, (A)	Generation)			
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Parls/Co des/Reduce.htm					Control Cont
Other Residential Recycling (list eac	h program se	parately)			
4020-SP-TRS - Tires	27	0.0%	Auto and truck tires	Source: County	Tonnage reports from Yolo County Central Landfill
4030-SP-WHG - White Goods	54	0.1%	Appliances	Source: County/See Appendix I-1	Tonnage reports from Yolo County Central Landfill
Enter program name		1.44 4 4 4 4 1			,
Enter program name					
Enter program name					
Subtotal, Residential Recycling	684	1.0%			
Residential Composting Activities	-	1			
Green Waste Drop-off	20	0.0%	Grass, tree and shrub clippings	Source: County/See Appendix F	Tonnage Reports. Yolo County Central Landfilli
Curbside Green Waste	551	0.8%	Grass, tree and shrub dippings	Source: Hauler/See Appendix E	Tonnage Report from hauler.
Christmas Tree Program	0	0.0%	Christmas Trees	Source: County/See Appendix F	Tonnage Reports: Yolo County Central Landfill
Other Residential Composting (list e	1 <u>3</u>				
Residential Wood Waste Drop-off	- 6	0.0%	Wood and lumber	Source: County/See Appendix F	Tonnage Reports. Yolo County Central Landfill
Enter program name		nis and ni 28th	<u> </u>		
Enter program name		and allowant the se		, '	<u> </u>
Enter program name		But with the Fig.			
Enter program name		1000 Block (1997)			
Subtotal, Residential Composting		"我看着我			The second of th
	577	0.9%		1996年, <u>1997年</u> 1996年 1997年 199	PRESIDENT AND PRESIDENCE AND PROPERTY.
Subtotal, Residential Diversion	1261	1.9%	(1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		The second secon
Non-Residential Source Reduction Activities:	THE CASE AND				
Non-Residential Waste Audits*	11	0.0%	See Section 9	See Section 9	See Section 9
Other Non-Residential Source Redu	tion (list eac	h program sepai	aloly) To the Control of the Control		
1000-SR-XRG Grasscycling, Government	38	0.1%	Grass dippings	0.146 tons/acrs/wk. Source: CIWMB/See Appendix C	Acreage grasscycling report. Yolo County Planning Public Works Department
1000-SR-XRG School Grasscycling (UCD)	570	0.8%	Grass dippings	0.146 tons/acre/wk. Source: CIWMB/See Appendix C	Acreage grasscycling report. U.C. Davis
1000-SR-XRG School Grasscycling (other schools)	325	0.5%	Grass clippings	0.146 tons/acre/wk. Source: CIWMB/See Appendix C	Telephone survey
1000-SR-XRG Business Grasscycling (golf courses and cemeterles)	2476	3.7%	Grass clippings	0.148 tons/acre/wk. Source: CIWMB/See Appendix C	Telephone survey
Subtotal, Non-Residential Source Reduction	3419	5.1%		The state of the s	

Other Non-Residential Recycling (list each program separately) 2050-RC-SCH School Recycling (UCD) 921 1.4% Mixed paper, cardboard a (aluminum, glass, plastic 4060-SP-CAR- Asphalt Recycling 4060-SP-CAR- Asphalt Recycling 4060-SP-CAR- Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Recycling Non-Residential Composting Activities Other Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub dig 3050-CM-SCH - School Composting (UCD) 1838 2.7% Straw, manure, grass, tree Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 25733 Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 25733 Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 25733 Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 25733 Subtotal Non-Residential Composition 2519 Subtotal Residential Diversion 2519 Subtotal Residential Diversion 3368 Subtotal Residential Diversion 3368 Subtotal Residential Diversion 3368 Subtotal Residential Diversion 3368 Total Diversion Tons Total Diversion Tons Total Diversion Tons 40361 Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567	st operation wimultiple materials specific Conversion Factor Lised (if an ne box)	my) and Source Type of Record and Location of Record
he program type glossary is online at: www.chymb.ca.gov/L.GCentral/Paris/Co es/Reduce.htm Non-Residential Waste Audits* 88 0.1% See 3		
Recycling Non-Residential Waste Audits* Other Non-Residential Recycling (list each program separately) 050-RC-SCH School Recycling (UCD) 050-RC-SCH School Recycling (UCD) 050-SP-CAR- Asphalt Recycling 060-SP-CAR- Asphalt Recycling 060-SP-CAR- Asphalt/Rubble Recycling 1545 1546		
Recycling Non-Residential Waste Audits* 88 0.1% See S Other Non-Residential Recycling (list each program separately) 050-RC-SCH School Recycling (UCD) 921 1.4% Mixed paper, cardboard a (aluminum, glass, plastic paper) 060-SP-CAR-Asphalt Recycling 27864 41.2% Asphalt recycling from roor concrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt recycling enter program name ubtotal Non-Residential Recycling 30418 45.9% 060-Residential Composting 67884 41.2% Asphalt recycling from roor concrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling 30418 45.9% 060-SP-CAR-Oncrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling 30418 45.9% 060-Residential Composting 30418 45.9% 060-Residential Composting 30418 45.9% 060-Residential Waste Audits* See S Other Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) 060-Residential Wood Waste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub dig Diso-CM-SCH - School Composting 30 0.0% Wood and lumber onmercial Greenwaste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub dig Diso-CM-SCH - School Composting 30 0.0% Straw, manure, grass, tree ubtotal Non-Residential Diversion 358 2.8% Ubtotal Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9% Residential/Non-Residential Diversion 3588 5.0% 01al Res/Non-Res Source Reduction 70 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at 1 0.0% Stray and 1 0.0% Scrap Metal Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D 1 0.0% Siudge Scrap Metal Construction 3368 5.0% 01al Res/Non-Res Source Reduction 70 0.0% 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div*Dis) 67867		
Non-Residential Waste Audits* 58 0.1% See S		
Non-Residential Waste Audits* Other Non-Residential Recycling (list each program separately) 2050-RC-SCH School Recycling (UCD) 821 1.4% Mixed paper, cardboard a (aluminum, glass, plastic (aluminum,		
Other Non-Residential Recycling (list each program separately) 2050-RC-SCH School Recycling (UCD) 821 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.500-SP-CAR-Asphalt Recycling 1.545 1.		
### 1.4% Mixed paper, cardboard is aluminum, glass, plastic glubrotal Non-Residential Composting 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt recycling from row 27864 41.2% Asphalt recycling from row 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt rec Concrete Asphalt recycling from row 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt rec Concrete Concre	ection 9 See Section 9	See Section 9
921 1.4% (aluminum, glass, plastic 27864 41.2% Asphalt recycling from ro. 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt rec Enter program name 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt rec Concrete and asphal	그 그는 사람들은 물리 가장 하게 되었다. 하나 바다	
921 1.4% (aluminum, glass, plastic 27864 41.2% Asphalt recycling from ro. 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt rec Enter program name 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt rec Concrete and asphal		A STATE OF THE STA
921 1.4% (aluminum, glass, plastic 27864 41.2% Asphalt recycling from ro. 060-SP-CAR-concrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling 1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt rec Enter program name bubtotal Non-Residential Recycling 30418 45.0% Concrete and asphalt rec Enter program name bubtotal Non-Residential Recycling 30418 45.0% Concrete and asphalt rec Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling 30418 45.0% Concrete and asphalt rec Concrete and asphalt rec Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling 30418 45.0% Concrete and asphalt recycling 30418 45.0% Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling 30418 45.0% Conc		
27884 41.2% Asphalt recycling 27884 41.2% Asphalt recycling from rol		
27864 41.2% Asphalt recycling from row	and metal cans) Source: UC Davis/See Appendix H	U.C. Davis report
1545 2.3% Concrete and asphalt rec	ada sahihiiitatian mulanta	10 4
Concrete/Asphalt/Rubble Recycling Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Recycling Non-Residential Composting Citivities Non-Residential Waste Audits* Other Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub clip Commercial Greenwaste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub clip Composting UCD) 1838 2.7% Straw, manure, grass, tree Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Construction and Demolition Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential Con-Residential Con-Residential Con-Residential Diversion Tons 3419 5.1% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67867	Aus remonitation projects 14-yr avg., 150tbs/cb it. 50trce. CityMb.	3/See Appendix I-2 Yolo County Planning and Public Works Department
Enter program name Subtotal Non-Residential Recycling Non-Residential Composting Activities Non-Residential Waste Audits* Other Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off 27 0.0% Wood and lumber Commercial Greenwaste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub dip 3050-CM-SCH - School Composting UCD) 1838 2.7% Straw, manure, grass, tre Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC Sudge Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC Surap Metal Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential Con-Residential Diversion Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 87567	ycling at YCCL Source: County, 4-year average/See A	Appendix I-1 YCCL Tonnage Reports
Subtotal Non-Residential Recycling Non-Residential Composting Activities Non-Residential Waste Audits* See 3 Other Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off Commercial Greenwaste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub clip 3050-CM-SCH - School Composting UCD) 1838 2.7% Straw, manure, grass, tree Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 27 0.0% Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 28 Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 29 Subtotal Non-Residential Construction and Demolition 29 Subtotal Residential Construction and Demolition 29 Subtotal Residential Con-Residential C		PPORTON TO TOTAL T
Non-Residential Composting Activities Non-Residential Waste Audits* Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off Commercial Greenwaste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub clip 3050-CM-SCH - School Composting UCD) 1838 2.7% Straw, manure, grass, tree Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 27 0.0% Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 28 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000		1
Activities Non-Residential Waste Audits* Other Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off Commercial Greenwaste Drop-off Commercial Greenwa	The state of the s	was a very of construct discount of the back of the
Non-Residential Waste Audits* Other Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off Commercial Greenwaste Drop-of		
Other Non-Residential Composting (list each program separately) Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off 30 0.0% Wood and lumber Commercial Greenwaste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub clip 1050-CM-SCH - School Composting UCD) 1838 2.7% Straw, manure, grass, tree Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9% Residential/Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9% Residential/Non-Residential Diversion ACT 5 0.0% Scrap Metal 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at 1 0.7% Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at 1 0.7% Composting 1 0.7% Composition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC Desidential Diversion and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC Desidential Diversion 3368 5.0% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec. 7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67867		The second secon
Commercial Wood Waste Drop-off 27 0.0% Wood and lumber Commercial Greenwaste Drop-off 27 0.0% Grass, tree and shrub clip USO-CM-SCH - School Composting UCD) 1838 2.7% Straw, manure, grass, tree subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9% Residential/Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sludge Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at 1 Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/Non-Res Source Reduction 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons (Div+Dis) 67867	ection 9 See Section 9	See Section 9
commercial Greenwaste Drop-off 050-CM-SCH - School Composting JCD) 1838 2.7% Straw, manure, grass, tre ubtotal Non-Residential composting 1895 2.8% ubtotal Non-Residential Diversion Residential/Non-Residential fiversion Activities ADC 5 Sudge Scrap Metal Construction and Demolition Landfill Salvage ubtotal Residential fon-Residential fon-Residential Con-Residential fon-Residential fon-Residential fon-Residential fon-Residential fon-Residential fon-Residential fon-Residential Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567	The section of the se	
Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9% Residential/Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sludge Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at 1 Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/Non-Reside	Source: County/See Appendix F	YCCL Tonnage Reports
Subtotal Non-Residential Composting 1895 2.8% Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9% Residential/Non- Residential Diversion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sludge 5 0.0% Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at 1 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	pings Source: County/See Appendix F	
Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9% Residential/Non- Residential Diversion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sludge 5 0.0% Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at I Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/Non-Residential Diversion 3388 5.0% Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567	e and shrub clippings Source: UC Davis/See Appendix H	
Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9%		
Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion 35733 52.9% Residential/Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sludge Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at I Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential Diversion 3388 5.0% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67867		U.C. Davis report
Residential/Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sudge Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at I Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/ Non-Residential Diversion 3368 5.0% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
Residential/Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sludge Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at I Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/Non-Residential/Non-Residential Diversion 3368 5.0% Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
Residential/Non-Residential Diversion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sludge Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at I Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/Non-Residential/Non-Residential Diversion 3368 5.0% Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
Obsersion Activities ADC 5 0.0% Sludge Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at I Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/ Non-Residential/Obsersion 3368 5.0% Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
ADC 5 0.0% Sludge		그 그 그들이 있는 옷차 성포함에 가면 해가 상황되고 있다. [18]
Studge Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at It Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/ Non-Residential Diversion 3368 5.0% Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
Scrap Metal 444 0.7% Scrap metal recycling at I Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/ Non-Residential Diversion 3388 5.0% Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
Construction and Demolition 2919 4.3% C&D materials from UC D Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential/ Non-Residential Diversion 3368 5.0% Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567	JCD and YCCL See Appendices H & I-1	UCD and YCCL tonnage reports
Landfill Salvage Subtotal Residential		UCD quarterly reports
Subtotal Residential Von-Residential Diversion 3368 5.0% Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		o ou destrony ropons
Non-Residential Diversion 3368 5.0%		A CONTRACT OF A
Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction 3419 5.1%		and the transfer of the transfer of the state of the stat
Tons 3419 5.1% Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567	The second secon	Annual Control of the
Total Diversion Tons 40361 59.7% Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		The second secon
Total Disposal Tons from Sec.7 27206 40.3% Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
Total Generation Tons (Div+Dis) 67567		
	的复数形式 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基	
Diversion Rate 60%		The second secon

9. Specific Non-Residential Sector Waste Audits--Top 10 Non-Residential Generators Board Meeting

September 17618 20119 tet this table for the top 10 non-residential generators that were surveyed. List each non-residential generator separately from largest to smallest, based on total diversion tons. Audit reference number ties to your audit sheets. Attachment 2a (Table will perform all addition calculations).

Type of Non-Residential Generator	Audit Reference Number	Specific/Major Diversion Activities include Material Type (e.g., paper recycling, grasscycling). (List activities on one line)	Source Reduction Tons	Recycling Tons	Composting Tons	Total Diversion Tons	Generation (Total Diversion	Survey Method Phone (P) Mail (M) On-site (O) Other
County Roads Dept.	Ī	Asphalt recycling		27864		27864	41,2%	on-site
University		C&D, Paper, cardboard, cans, bottle, metals and greenwaste recycling, composting, grasscycling	570	4232	1838	6640.3	9.8% 😩	letter
Golf Course		Grasscycling	835			835.1	1.2%	phone
Golf Course		Grasscycling	812.3			812.3	1.2%	phone
Golf Course		Grasscycling	265.7			265.7	0.4%	phone
Golf Course		Grasscycling	242.9			242.9	0.4%	phone
Golf Course		Grasscycling	197.4			197.4	0.3%	phone
Cemetery		Grasscycling	122.6			122.6	0.2%	phone
School		Grasscycling	81.0			81	0.1%	phone
School		Grasscycling	60.7	<u> </u>		60.7	0.1%	phone
	TELL TO	tals	3187.7	32096.3	1838	37122	54.9%	

Also provide an attachment 9 which includes all of the generators surveyed. Include for each generator (use type of generator in lieu of specific business name) diversion activity and material type and associated tonnage for each diversion activity/material type, and applicable conversion factors/sources. Include copies of survey form(s) used.

Summarize the non-residential diversion activities for the top 10 generators quantification methodology, and applicable conversion factors and sources (e.g., cardboard recycling: quantified by monthly tonnage receipts provided by the contact person at the business).

See	appendice	9S

- 10. For each restricted waste type (i.e., agricultural waste, inert solids, [e.g. concreter, asphalt, dirt, etc.] scrap metals and white goods [PRC section 41781.2]) and associated program, please provide the following information:
- a. If the diversion program started on or after January 1, 1990, complete the following table.

Note: program name refers to one specific diversion program for that waste type (e.g., "Diversion conducted by city public waste dept."

Restricted Waste Type		Specific Program Name	Year Started	Tonnage	
White Goods	1992	54			
Scrap Metal	_	Scrap metal recycling at Yolo County Landfill	1992	52	
Inert Solids	▼	Inerts recycling at Yolo County Central Landfill	1995	1545	
Inert Solids	•	Road Rehibiliation by Yolo County Public Works, Roads Dept.	1998	27864	
Pull Down for Waste Types	•				
Pull Down for Waste Types	-	<i>'</i>			

- **b.** If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990 and if documentation on the program and waste type has not been approved by the Board on a separate sheet marked "Attachment 10b", provide the documentation that indicates:
- How the diversion was the result of a local action taken by the jurisdiction, which specifically resulted in the diversion (PRC sec. 41781.2 [c] [1]).
- That the amount of that waste type diverted from the jurisdiction in 1990 was less than or equal to the amount of that waste type disposed at a permitted disposal facility by the jurisdiction in any year before 1990. (Note: this criterion is applicable to the entire jurisdiction, not to individual programs (PRC sec. 41781.2 [c] [2]). Please include documentation.
- That the jurisdiction is implementing, and will continue to implement, the diversion programs in its source reduction and recycling element.

Note: If documentation for a waste type and program has already been approved by the Board, you do not have to provide an attachment 10b for that waste type and program.

Instead please provide date of Board approval of previously submitted information.

(Date)

If documentation is not available, go to 10d.

c. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is available (but

not yet approved by the Board), complete the table below for each program claimed:

Restricted Waste Type		Specific Program Name	New Base Year or Reporting Year Diversion Tonnage		
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types	₩				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼				

d. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 10b is not available, please complete the table below for each program claimed. **Note**: Only the difference between the new base vear/reporting year and 1990 can be counted in the diversion rate calculation.

Restricted Waste Type		Specific Program Name	New Base Year or Reporting Year Tonnage	1990 Diversion Tonnage	Difference	
Scrap Metal	_	Scrap Metal Recycling at UC Davis	620	228	392	
Pull Down for Waste Types	•					
Pull Down for Waste Types	•					
Pull Down for Waste Types	•	·				
Pull Down for Waste Types	~					
Pull Down for Waste Types	▼					

Appendix B

Diversion Quantification and Methodology for Yolo County Unincorporated in 2000

Component/Program	PARIS	Tons	% WG	% Res	Res	Material	Method/Conversion	Appendix	Business Type
					(tons)				
Source Reduction									
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	835.10	1%	0%	0	GW	110 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	С	Golf Course
Actionacooyemig	1000	000.10	. 70	0 70			(tons/acres/wk)		Oon Oourse
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	812.3	1%	0%	l ol	GW	107 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	С	Golf Course
			* 1.0				(tons/acres/wk)		
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	570.0	1%	0%	ol	GW	75 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	С	University
							(tons/acres/wk)		
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	265.7	0%	0%	0	GW	35 acs x 52 wks x 0.146 (tons/acres/wk)	С	Golf Course
							32 acs x 52 wks x 0.146		
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	242.9	0%	0%	이	GW	(tons/acres/wk)	С	Golf Course
	-						26 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	_	
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	197.4	0%	0%	이	GW	(tons/acres/wk)	С	Golf Course
v 10	1						20 acs x 42 wks x 0.146		
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	122.6	0%	0%	이	GW	(tons/acres/wk)	С	Cemetery
York Cross suching	1000	81.0	0%	0%	0	GW	15 acs x 37 wks x 0.146	С	School
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	81.0	U%	0%	٥	GW	(tons/acres/wk)	<u> </u>	SCHOOL
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	60.7	0%	0%	. 0	GW	8 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	С	School
Activorasseycing	1000	00.7	U 76	076	Ů	GW	(tons/acres/wk)		341001
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	45.6	0%	0%	o	GW	6 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	С	School
	1000	10.0	0,0	070			(tons/acres/wk)	<u> </u>	
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	38.0	0%	0%	ا ا	GW	5 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	С	School
							(tons/acres/wk)		
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	38.0	` 0%	0%	l ol	GW	5 acs x 52 wks x 0.146		Parks Dept.
	-,						(tons/acres/wk) 4 acs x 52 wks x 0.146		
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	30.4	0%	0%	. 0	GW	(tons/acres/wk)	С	School
	-			-			4 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	<u> </u>	
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	30.4	0%	0%	0	GW	(tons/acres/wk)	С	School
	1						4 acs x 37 wks x 0.146	-	
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	21.6	0%	0%	0	GW	(tons/acres/wk)	С	School
V-110	4000	45.0		201		0141	2 acs x 52 wks x 0.146		Ochool
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	15.2	0%	0%	0	GW	(tons/acres/wk)	С	School
Xeri/Grasscycling	1000	1.9	0%	0%	0	GW	.25 acs x 52 wks x 0.146	С	School
, ,	1000			<u></u>	u v	GW	(tons/acres/wk)		SCHOOL
Total Source Reduction		3,409	4%		0				
Recycling									
Residential Curbside	2000	355	0%	100%		Multiple	Hauler report	E	Waste hauler
Residential Drop-Off (YCCL)	2010	200		100%		Multiple	YCCL report	<u> </u>	Landfill
Buyback Centers	2020	48	0%	100%		CRV	9/11/01 DOC letter	G	State
Other/Business Recycling	2050	322				Multiple	See Appendix D	D	University
School Recycling (UCD) Total Recycling	2050	921			603	Multiple	UCD report	Н	University
Composting		1,846	276		603			}	<u> </u>
	 	 		_			Drop off in Clarksburg	 	
Residential Greenwaste Drop-off	3010	20	0%	100%	20	GW	and YCCL	F	Landfill
Residential Woodwaste Drop-off	4050	6	0%	100%	6	Wood	Drop off at YCCL	F	Landfill
Curbside Greenwaste	3000	551				GW	Hauler report	E	Waste hauler

Revised Version: June 27, 2002

Appendix B

Diversion Quantification and Methodology for Yolo County Unincorporated in 2000

Component/Program	PARIS	Tons	% WG	% Res	Res	Material	Method/Conversion	Appendix	Business Type
				'	(tons)				
Christmas Tree Recycling		0	0%	100%		GW	YCCL report	F	Landfill
Commercial Greenwaste Drop-off	3030	27	0%	0%	0	GW	YCCL report	F	Landfill
Commercial Woodwaste Drop-off	4050	30	0%	0%	0	Wood	YCCL report	F	Landfill
School Composting	3050	1,838	2%	0%	0		UCD report	Н	University
Total Composting		2,472	3%		577				
Special Waste									
Tire Recycling	4020	27	0%	100%	27	Rubber	YCCL report		Landfill
White Goods	4030	54	0%	100%	54	Appliances	YCCL report	I-1	Landfill
Scrap Metals Processing (YCCL)	4040	52	0%	100%	52	Metai	YCCL report	I-1	Landfill
Scrap Metals Processing (UCD)	4040	392	1%	0%	0	Metal	UCD report	Н	University
Inerts Reuse (UCD Landfill)	4060	2,919	4%	0%	0	Inerts	UCD report	Н	University
C&D (UCD)	4060	6,319	8%	100%	6,319	Inerts	UCD report	Н	University
Inerts Reuse YCCL	4060	1,394	2%	0%	0	Inerts	YCCL report	I-1	Landfill
Yolo County Road Rehabilitation	4060	30,810	41%	0%	o	Increa	Yolo County Public	1	
Tolo County Road Renabilitation	4000	30,610		0%	'	Inerts	Works Roads Dept.	I-2	County
ADC		5	0%	0%	0	ADÇ	DBR	F	County
Total Special Waste		41,971	55%		6,452				
TOTAL DIVERSION		49,698	65%		7,631				
DISPOSAL									
Altamont Landfill (Alameda)		0	0%		0				
Azusa Land Reclamation (L.A.)		8	0%		0				
Sacramento Co. Landfill		345	0%		0				
L&D Landfill (Sac. Co.)		398	1%		0				
Anderson Solid Waste Disposal		134	0%		0				
(Shasta Co.)		104			ľ			ļ	
Potrero Hills Landfill (Solano)		25	0%		0				
Yolo County Central Landfill	·	16,430	22%		4,285			Α	
UC Davis Landfill		9,780	13%		0				
Ostrom Road Landfill (Yuba/Sutter)		85	0%		0				
Disposal Modification for Rumsey		(984)							
Indian Reservation		(904)						İ	
TOTAL DISPOSAL		26,222	35%		4,285				
							•		
TOTAL GENERATION		75,920			11,916	21,450	(Y2K Population)		19.4
DIVERSION RATE		65.5%			15.7%	Residential	% of Waste Generation		
									•
					<u></u>				
Abbreviations used are:	CRV	Californi				Res	Residential		
	DOC	Departm		Conser	vation	UCD	UC Davis		
	GW	Green V	/aste			YCCL	Yolo County Central Land	fill	

			Number of		Diversion (w	reight in pounds)								
Reference	Business Type	Activity Description	Employees	Source Reduction	Recycling	Metals	Inerts	Composting		Conversion Factor	Source	(Chargon Pound)	per year	1
Number			25.0		12,019.00	SR & R	SR & R		(category) Paper	(weight per count) 50.08lbs/cv	USEPA	per year 12,019.00	6.01	1
1	Winery	acc recyling								1.08lbs/ea	USEPA	8,480.00	3.24	
		wine bottle recycling	25.0		6,480.00	906.00			Glass Metal	1.00(00/00) 906(bs/cy	USEPA	0,700,00	3.27	
		Fe scrap recycling	25.0			906.00					USEPA	2,400.00	1.20	
		recycling pallet - burned	25.0		2,400.00				Other Organic	40lbs/ea			0.09	_
		toner/printer cartridge recycling	25.0		180.00				Other	2.5lbs/ea	USEPA	180.00		
		op double/acratch	25.0	550.00					Paper	0.77 bs/gal	USEPA	550.00	0.28	
		reusing binders	25.0	10.00					Other	1.63tbs/binder	Actual (ave) Waight	10.00	0.01	
		reuse of plactic 55 gal drum	25.0	45.00					Plastic	15lbs/ea	UCLA/re	45.00	0.02	
									_				·	
2	mini market	occ recylcing use of totes	5. 5	1,258.40	1,544.40				Paper Paper	2.2lbs/med box 2.2lbs/med box	USEPA USEPA	1,544.40 1,258.40	0.77 0.63	
		occ reuse	5	800.80					Paper	2.2fbs/med box	USEPA	800.80	0.40	
3	fire department	onp recycling	10		364.00				Paper	1lb/ea	Actual (ave) weight	364.00	0.18	
		acc recylcing	10		286.00				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	286.00	0.14	
		occ reuse	10	228.60					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	0.11	
4	post office	acc recylcing	2		228.80				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	226.80	0.11	
		occ reuse	2	228.80					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	0.11	
5	marina	55 gal drum recycling	3			800.00			Metal	30lbs/ea	USEPA	•	-	
		scrap metal recycling	3			500.00			Metal	500lbs/yr	Statement	•	•	
		occ reuse	3	400.40					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	400.40	0.20	
6	dental office	acc recycling	2		228.80				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	228.60	0.11	
		file purge - recycling	2		100.00				Paper	100lbs/yr	Statement	100.00	0.05	
		occ rouse	2	114.40					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	114.40	0.06	
		op duplexing	2	52.00					Paper	5lbs/500sheets	USEPA	52.00	0.03	
7	market	occ recycling	16		2,560.00				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	2,860.00	1.43	
		pallet recycling	16		8,320.00				Other Organic	40lbs/ez	USEPA	8,320.00	4.16	
		use of totes	16	3,432.00					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	3,432.00	1.72	
		occ reuse	16	2,288.00					Paper	2.2hs/med box	USEPA	2,288.00	1.14	
8	drayage & truck repair	occ recycling	7		514.80				Paper	2.21bs/med box	USEPA	514.80	0.26	
		metal scrap recycling	7			1,000.00			Metal	1000lbs/yr	Statement			
		patiet recycling	7		1,600.00				Other Organic	40fbs/ea	USEPA	1,600.00	0.80	
		tire recylcing	7		1,500.00				Inorganic	75lbs/ea	USEPA	1,500.00	0.75	
		occ reuse	ż	228.80	1,000.00				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	0.11	
		op duplexing	7	26.00					Paper	5lbs/500sheets	USEPA	26.00	0.01	
9	balt & tackle shop	occ recycling	. 4		800.80				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	800.80	0.40	
		occ reuse	4	228.80					Paper	2.2fbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	0.11	
10	gas station & mini mart	acc recycling	5		1,029.60				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	1,029.60	0.51	
		OCC FBUSE	5	228.60					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	0.11	
		use of totes	5	686.40					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	685.40	0.34	
11	"Corner" Store	occ recycling	3.0		1,144.00				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	1,144.00	0.57	
		reuse occ	3.0	1,144.00					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	1,144.00	0.57	
		reuse one	3.0	520.00					Paper	1lbs/ea	Actual Weight	520.00	0.26	
		ferrous can recycling (15.5 oz)	3.0			35.50			Metal	0.113lbs/15.5oz cn	USEPA		-	
		reuse of 5 gal buckets	3.0	21.60					Plastic	1.9lbs/ea	USEPA	21,60	0.01	
. 12	take-out restaurant	occ recycling	4		228.80				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	0.11	
		occ reuse	4	114.40					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	114.40	0.06	
		1 gal container reuse	4	215.40					Plastic	0.420lbs/ea	USEPA	218.40	0.11	
13	gas station & mini mart	occ recycling	3		1,029.60				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	1,029.60	0.51	
		OCC reuse	3	457.60					Paper	2.21bs/med box	USEPA	457.60	0.23	
14	Agricultural/Seed Genetics	op recycling	45.0		7,100.00				Paper	7100lbs/yr	Interviewee Written Statement	7,100.00 3,000.00	3.55 1.50	
		mp/op recycling	45.0		3,000.00				Paper	3000lbs/yr	Interviewee Written Statement			
•		kraft paper recycling	45.0		47,000.00				Paper	47000lbs/yr	Interviewee Written Statement	47,000.00	23.50	
		shrink wrap recycling	45.0		65.00				Plastic	65lbs/yr	Interviewee Written Statement	65.00	0.03	
		scrap wood recycling	45.0		300.00				Other Organic	300ths/yr	Interviewee Written Statement	300.00	0.15	
		yard waste	45.0					216.00		180lbs/cy	USEPA	218.00	0.11	
		toner/printer cartridge recycling	45.0		20.00				Other	2.5fbs/ea	USEPA	20.00	0.01	
		reuse of shredded mp	45.0	300.00					Paper	300lbs/yr	Interviewee Written Statement	300.00	0.15	
		reused of 5 gall buckets	45.0	3.60					Plastic	0.9lbs/ea	UCLA/sh	3.60	0.00	
		reuse of durable pallets	45.0	720.00					Other Organic	(#pallet)/5((40lbs)	CIWMB	720.00	0.36	
15	thrift store, gifts	occ reuse	2	514.80					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	514.80	0.26	
16	self storage	occ recycling	2		514.60				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	514.80	0.26	
		OCC FELISE	2	514.80					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	514.80	0.26	
		donation of clothing & housewared	5 2	500.00					Mix	500lbs/yr	Statement	500.00	0.25	
17	social services	one recycling	3		260.00				Paper	1ib/ea	Actual (ave) weight	260.00	0.13	
		occ reuse	3	228.50					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	0.11	

Agenda Item Attachment 2a

Other/Business Source Reduction and Recycling

			Number of		Diversion (v	veight in pounds)							
Reference Number	Business Type	Activity Description	Employees		Recycling	Metals SR & R	Inerts SR & R	Composting	Material Type (category)	Conversion Factor (weight per count)	Source	Diversion Pounds, Oh-	per year
		op duplexing	3	52.00					Paper	5lbs/500sheets	USEPA	52.00	0.03
18	lumber transport	wood scrap recylcing	10		2,000.00				Other Organic	2000lbs/yr	Statement	2,000.00	1.00
		tire recylcing	10		600.00				Inorganic	75lbs/ea	USEPA	600.00	0.30
		occ reuse	10	457.80					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	457.60	0.23
19	Grape growers	reuse 1 gai containers	1.0	109.20					Plastic	0.42lbs/ea	USEPA	109.20	0.05
									_			•	•
20	Architectural office / cermaics		2.0		354.00				Paper	50.08lbs/cy	USEPA	354.00	0.18
		op recycling	2.0		1,779.00				Paper	10.01lbs/13gal	USEPA	1,779.00	0.89
21	Farm Labor Contractors	wildouble scratch	5.0	300.00					Paper	5lba/m	USEPA	300.00	0,15
22	convenience store	occ recycling	6		20,800.00				Paper	100lbs/cy	USEPA	20.800.00	10.40
		grease recycling	6		7,450.00				Other Organic	7.45lbs/gal	USEPA	7,450.00	3.73
		use of totes	6	1,144.00	.,				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	5,144.00	0.57
										ELINGIIIO DOX	VV-11	7,177.00	-
23	concrete mix materials	op duplexing	6	26.00					Paper	5fbs/500sheets	USEPA	26.00	0.01
24	4 Gravel Extraction	scrap metal recycling	2.0			108,720.00			Metal	906lbs/cy	USEPA		:
		wi double/scratch	2.0	90.00					Paper	5lbs/m	USEPA	90.00	0.05
25	insurance agency	No applicable SR/R activities	1									:	-
26	market	occ recycling	5		1,258.40				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	1,258.40	0.63
20	TIME KOX	occ reuse	5	286.00	1,200.40				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	286.00	0.63
		000 10000	•	200.00					r apro	2.2100/11/02 DQX	OSEFA	200.00	0.14
27	Library	mp recycling	4.0		1,218.00				Paper	1.8lbs/gal	USEPA	1,218.00	0.61
		mp double/scratch	4.0	1,218.00					Paper	1.8tbs/gal	USEPA	1,218.00	0.61
		donation of books	4.0	300.00					Paper	2.5lbs/ea	interviewee Statement	300.00	0.15
		donation of magz	4.0	480.00					Paper	0.3lbs/ea	USEPA .	480.00	0.24
		reuse occ	4.0	686.00					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	686.00	0.34 .
28	propane	op duplexing	10	26.00					Paper	5lbs/500sheets	USEPA	26.00	0.01
29	convenience store	occ recycling	6		1,258.40				Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	1.258.40	0.63
		occ reuse	8	343.20					Paper	2.2lbs/med box	USEPA	343.20	0.17
		use of totes	6	915.20					Paper	2.2fbs/med box	USEPA	915.20	0.46
30	lumber planing	sawdust & wood scrap recycling	2		1,000.00				Other Organics	1000lbs/yr	Statement	1,000.00	0.50
		op duplexing	2	26.00					Paper	5lbs/500sheets	USEPA	26.00	0.01
		wood scrap reuse	2	600.00					Other Organics	600lbs/yr	Statement	600.00	0.30
31	gas station	occ recycling	6		228.80				Paper	2.2fbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	0.11
		occ rouse	6	228.80					Paper	2.2fbs/med box	USEPA	228.80	` 0.11

Board Meeting September 17-18, 2002

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

Agenda Item Attachment 2a

BERKELLY + DAVIS + INVINE + LOS ANORIES + MERCED + RIVERSIDE +



SANTA BARBARA + NAMTA CRUZ

PACILITIES SERVICES DEPARTMENT **OROUNDS DIVISION** R4 RECYCLING PROORAM TELEI HONE: (530) 752-6970 FAX: (530) 752-9935

ONE SHIELLAS AVENUE DAVIS, CALIPOKNIA 95616-8654

August 17, 2001

David A. Langer **Ecotelesis** 3977 26th Street, Suite 2 San Francisco, California 94131

Re: Recycling Numbers for the University of California, Davis

Dear Mr. Langer,

Per your request, these are the tonnages for the recycled materials during 2000 by the University of California, Davis:

Mixed Paper: 590 tons

-Cans and Bottles: 96.3 tons

Metals: 620 tons

Green Waste: 202 ton/

Cardboard: 235 tons

Organics Straw and Manure): 1,636 ic

Construction and Demolition of the Sewage Treatment Plant: 6,319 tons (estimated)

√Total Acres/ > e Footage of grass area that is grasscycled: 75 acres

Please give me a call at (530) 752-6970 if you have any further questions. Thank you.

Sincerely

Lin King

Program Manager

R4 Recycling Program

pages > Post-it Fax Note 7671 raca Facilities & Phone # 30-752-6970

Xc:

Sal Genito, Pacilities